

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/894,828	Applicant(s) GUTHRIE ET AL.	
	Examiner Andy Ho	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Rene A. Pereyra (Reg. No. 45,800).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the communication, the examiner informed the applicant's representative of the status of the application in which the claims are allowed in the condition of amending claims 1, 11 and 22. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.